



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT



Goal 1 Key Performance Outcome
College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI)
SY 2015-16

About the CCRPI:

As documented in the District Accountability System's Reporting, Evaluating, & Monitoring Instrument (DAS-REMI), a Key Performance Outcome for Goal I is to increase the district's CCRPI score. The CCRPI is a comprehensive school improvement and communication platform that serves as the overarching measure of school, district, and state performance for federal and state accountability purposes. The CCRPI includes multiple categories and indicators within the core components of Academic Achievement, Student Progress, and Achievement Gap, as well as opportunities to earn Challenge Points through student Subgroup Performance and attainment of specialized Exceeding the Bar indicator targets. Scores are reported for each of the K-5, 6-8, and 9-12 grade level bands, in addition to a single overall score.

In SY 2014-15 the CCRPI calculation was revised significantly from prior years. Due to the extent of the changes, which included the transition from CRCT/EOCT to GMAS; re-weighting of the component points; and a number of changes to indicator-level measures, SY 2014-15 and SY 2015-16 scores are not comparable to those from prior years. It should also be noted that lesser changes occurred from SY 2014-15 to SY 2015-16, including the following:

1. Removal of the Georgia High School Writing Test Achievement indicator in grades 9-12 due to elimination of the test
2. Addition of Algebra I and Geometry to the Achievement Content Mastery indicator for math
3. Substitution of End of Course scores for End of Grade for middle grades students who took EOC courses in math and science

SY 2015-16 CCRPI scores serve as the baseline year for the Georgia Flexibility Options SWSS/IE2 School Performance Contracts. Under this performance contract, each school is expected to annually improve the CCRPI score by at least 3% of the difference between the SY 2015-16 score and 100. Challenge Points are not counted within the performance contract score calculations, therefore, a Core Score that excludes challenge points is reported for each school and grade-level band. All SY 2015-16 targets were set by applying the 3% calculation formula to the prior year score.



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

CCRPI SY 2015-16 – Key Points

Overall and Core Scores

- The district's overall score was 64.5, reflecting a decline of 0.9 points compared to last year.
- Both the state and comparison group of demographically similar districts also decreased from last year, by 1.9 and 1.1 points, respectively. Overall, the district performed lower than the state and comparison group, but declined slightly less than both compared to last year.
- The district's grades K-5 score was 62.6, which reflects a decline of 1.5 points compared to last year. The comparison group experienced a similar decline, but maintained a higher score than the district, and the state K-5 score decreased by 4 points compared to last year.
- The district's grades 6-8 score declined by just under 1 point, from 61.0 to 60.1, while the state and comparison group performed higher and improved by 0.4 and 0.5 points, respectively.
- In grades 9-12 the district rose by 0.2 points, to an overall score of 72.7. SCCPSS outperformed its comparison group, which declined by 1.9 points, while the state maintained its score from year to year.
- SY 2015-16 serves as the district's baseline year for the SWSS/IE2 performance contract. Because Challenge Points are not considered under the performance contract, the Core Score without Challenge Points is reported for each school and district grade band. When Challenge Points are not included, both the K-5 and 9-12 grade bands demonstrated improvement over last year, with grades 9-12 surpassing the annual performance target.
- Bloomingdale, Garden City, Haven, Hodge, Marshpoint, Shuman, West Chatham Elementary, White Bluff, East Broad, Georgetown, Godley Station, Isle of Hope, Mercer, Southwest, Johnson, Savannah Arts, Woodville-Tompkins, CEMCO, and Tybee each earned an Overall and/or Core score at or above the annual target.
- Schools with three consecutive years of scores below 60 are identified as 360 schools. Schools newly identified in SY 2015-16 include Low, Shuman, East Broad, Southwest Middle, West Chatham Middle, and Savannah Classical Academy. Brock, Haven, Hodge, DeRenne, Mercer, and Myers maintained their existing 360 status, bringing the number of 360 designations to 5 elementary, 4 middle, and 1 charter K8 school.
- Of these schools, Haven, Hodge, Low, Shuman, East Broad, Mercer, and Southwest demonstrated improvement from last year, but still fell below the threshold of 60.

Academic Achievement Scores

- Of the 50 possible Achievement points, the district earned 25.1 in elementary grades; 24.6 in middle grades; and 31.3 in high school grades.
- The Achievement Score declined by 0.7 points in elementary grades, but increased by 0.8 points in grades 6-8 and 9-12. Middle and high school grades Achievement scores were at/above the annual 3% improvement target.
- Elementary and middle grades performed within 0.6 and 0.5 points of the comparison group, respectively, and the district outperformed its comparison group in grades 9-12.



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

- Among schools serving elementary grades, Bloomingdale, Marshpoint, Port Wentworth, Pulaski, West Chatham, Ellis, Garrison, Georgetown, CEMCO and Tybee scored at/above the annual 3% improvement target.
- Over half of schools serving middle grades earned Achievement scores at/above the annual 3% target, including Georgetown, Godley Station, Hesse, Isle of Hope, Coastal, DeRenne, Mercer, Southwest and STEM Academy.
- Among high schools, Islands, Savannah Arts and Woodville-Tompkins met or exceeded the 3% improvement target, and Johnson, New Hampstead and Windsor Forest showed improvement compared to last year.

Progress Scores

- The district earned more than three-fourths of the 40 Progress points available within each grade cluster – 30.9 in elementary and middle grades, and 33.3 in high school grades.
- Progress scores declined slightly in all grade level bands, with decreases of between 0.3 in elementary grades, 1.5 points in middle grades, and 0.8 points in high school grades. However, the district outperformed its comparison group in grades 9-12.
- Twelve schools met their annual target to improve the elementary grades Progress score by at least 3%, including Garden City, Haven, Hodge, JG Smith, Marshpoint, Shuman, West Chatham Elementary, White Bluff, East Broad, Ellis, Godley Station, Isle of Hope, CEMCO, Tybee Maritime, and Savannah Classical. Of these schools, Haven, East Broad, and Tybee improved their progress score by more than 5 points compared to last year.
- Among schools serving grades 6-8, Georgetown, Godley Station, Isle of Hope, Mercer, Southwest Middle, and Savannah Classical met their annual 3% improvement target, with Georgetown achieving a perfect Progress score of 40 points.
- Among high schools, Johnson, Savannah Arts, and the School of Liberal Studies at Savannah High each met their annual improvement target for Progress.


Achievement Gap Scores

- Of the 10 possible Achievement Gap points, the district earned 5.8 in elementary grades; 4.2 in middle grades; and 6.7 in high school grades. The district performed at or above both the state and comparison group in grades 9-12.
- Grades 6-8 maintained the Achievement Gap score from last year, while elementary and high school grades improved by 1.6 and 0.9 points, respectively, and met their annual targets.
- Butler, Garden City, Haven, Hodge, Largo-Tibet, Marshpoint, Shuman, Spencer, West Chatham Elementary, White Bluff, Windsor Forest Elementary, East Broad, Garrison, and Isle of Hope all met the 3% improvement target for Achievement Gap in elementary grades.
- Among schools serving middle grades, East Broad, Georgetown, Godley Station, Mercer, Southwest Middle, and Savannah Classical met their annual improvement target for Achievement Gap.
- Among high schools, Beach, Savannah Arts, and Windsor Forest High met or exceeded the Achievement Gap improvement target.



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 1. State, Comparison Group, & SCCPSS CCRPI Overall Score




NOTE: Shading of color represents progression of years. Gray shading indicates score not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation



**DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT**

Figure 2. Overall CCRPI Score by Grade Level Band: State, Comparison Group, & District



NOTE: Shading of color represents progression of years. Gray shading indicates score not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 3. CCRPI Score by Component and Grade Level Band: State, Comparison Group, & District

		Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)					Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Gr. K-5	48.1	29.7	30.0	0.3	*	15.9	33.8	33.8	0.0	*	5.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*	69.0	70.2	70.5	0.3	*
	Gr. 6-8	48.1	29.3	30.2	0.9	*	16.3	34.6	34.6	0.0	*	7.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*	71.4	70.6	71.5	0.9	*
	Gr. 9-12	43.6	32.8	34.0	1.2	*	15.7	34.3	34.3	0.0	*	7.5	6.7	6.7	0.0	*	66.8	73.8	75.0	1.2	*
Comp. Grp.	Gr. K-5	43.3	25.4	25.7	0.3	*	15.7	33.7	33.1	-0.6	*	5.1	6.0	6.1	0.1	*	64.1	65.1	64.9	-0.2	*
	Gr. 6-8	44.2	24.4	25.1	0.7	*	15.9	33.3	33.0	-0.3	*	6.9	5.2	5.4	0.2	*	67.0	62.9	63.5	0.6	*
	Gr. 9-12	39.7	29.0	29.4	0.4	*	15.7	34.3	32.8	-1.5	*	6.9	5.7	5.4	-0.3	*	62.3	69.0	67.6	-1.4	*
SCCPSS	Gr. K-5	44.6	25.8	25.1	-0.7	*	15.4	31.2	30.9	-0.3	*	5.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*	65.0	61.2	61.8	0.6	*
	Gr. 6-8	42.9	23.8	24.6	0.8	*	14.9	32.4	30.9	-1.5	*	7.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*	64.8	60.4	59.7	-0.7	*
	Gr. 9-12	40.6	30.5	31.3	0.8	*	15.6	34.1	33.3	-0.8	*	5.0	5.8	6.7	0.9	*	61.2	70.4	71.3	0.9	*

		Challenge Points (10 max all years)										Overall Score (with Challenge Points)				
		Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar									
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Gr. K-5	3.6	5.8	1.2	-4.6	*	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.3	*	73.2	76.8	72.8	-4.0	*
	Gr. 6-8	1.8	0.6	0.0	-0.6	*	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.1	*	73.5	71.7	72.1	0.4	*
	Gr. 9-12	1.6	2.0	0.7	-1.3	*	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	*	68.7	76.1	76.1	0.0	*
Comp. Grp.	Gr. K-5	1.4	2.1	0.4	-1.7	*	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.5	*	65.8	67.7	66.3	-1.4	*
	Gr. 6-8	1.3	0.3	0.1	-0.2	*	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	*	68.3	63.5	64.0	0.5	*
	Gr. 9-12	1.4	1.5	0.9	-0.6	*	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	*	63.9	70.7	68.8	-1.9	*
SCCPSS	Gr. K-5	2.9	2.3	0.0	-2.3	*	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	*	68.7	64.1	62.6	-1.5	*
	Gr. 6-8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.6	0.6	0.4	-0.2	*	65.9	61.0	60.1	-0.9	*
	Gr. 9-12	3.3	1.9	1.1	-0.8	*	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	*	65.0	72.5	72.7	0.2	*

*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

At/above annual target

Made progress

Did not make progress



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 4. CCRPI Overall and Core Scores: by Elementary School

	CCRPI Overall Score					CCRPI Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	73.2	76.8	72.8	-4.0	*	69.0	70.2	70.5	0.3	*
Comp. Group	65.8	67.7	66.3	-1.4	*	64.1	65.1	64.9	-0.2	*
SCCPSS	68.7	64.1	62.6	-1.5	*	65.0	61.2	61.8	0.6	*
Bloomington	76.8	62.6	64.2	1.6	*	71.0	61.1	63.2	2.1	*
Brock ^Δ ○	49.1	49.0	45.5	-3.5	*	49.1	49.0	45.5	-3.5	*
Butler [□] Δ	62.1	54.4	53.5	-0.9	*	60.1	53.4	52.5	-0.9	*
Gadsden ^Δ	69.7	63.2	60.6	-2.6	*	68.7	62.7	59.6	-3.1	*
Garden City ^Δ	65.8	57.2	58.3	1.1	*	62.8	56.0	57.3	1.3	*
Gould	69.0	58.0	52.8	-5.2	*	64.2	55.5	51.8	-3.7	*
Haven [□] Δ○	42.3	43.8	53.1	9.3	*	42.3	43.3	52.6	9.3	*
Heard	78.8	82.2	72.5	-9.7	*	75.2	76.2	68.1	-8.1	*
Hodge [□] Δ○	52.0	48.7	53.7	5.0	*	51.5	48.7	52.7	4.0	*
Howard	95.1	89.6	83.2	-6.4	*	91.4	85.3	79.5	-5.8	*
JG Smith	99.3	84.7	83.8	-0.9	*	92.0	78.5	77.7	-0.8	*
Largo-Tibet	66.5	61.5	61.8	0.3	*	65.7	60.5	60.8	0.3	*
Low ^Δ ○	51.7	53.9	55.2	1.3	*	51.2	52.9	53.5	0.6	*
Marshpoint	85.1	77.8	81.9	4.1	*	79.3	72.9	76.5	3.6	*
Pooler	78.9	68.9	59.4	-9.5	*	71.5	66.7	59.4	-7.3	*
Pt. Wentworth	63.0	51.3	NA	NA	*	59.1	50.8	NA	NA	*
Pulaski	81.0	71.8	59.8	-12.0	*	74.5	63.8	54.7	-9.1	*
Shuman [□] Δ○	46.9	47.5	52.7	5.2	*	45.9	47.5	52.7	5.2	*
Southwest	83.6	70.1	58.0	-12.1	*	78.6	65.3	57.5	-7.8	*
Spencer	62.7	60.7	59.2	-1.5	*	61.2	59.7	57.7	-2.0	*
Thunderbolt [□] Δ	41.3	63.3	53.3	-10.0	*	40.8	60.5	51.8	-8.7	*
West Chatham	77.2	65.4	69.8	4.4	*	72.8	62.3	69.3	7.0	*
White Bluff ^Δ	55.3	61.0	63.6	2.6	*	54.8	60.0	62.6	2.6	*
Windsor Forest [□] Δ	61.9	62.1	59.8	-2.3	*	61.3	61.1	59.8	-1.3	*

□*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

■ At/above annual target

■ Made progress

■ Did not make progress

□ Focus school

Δ Impact school

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



**DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT**

Figure 5. CCRPI Achievement, Progress, & Achievement Gap Scores: by Elementary School

	Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	48.1	29.7	30.0	0.3	*	15.9	33.8	33.8	0.0	*	5.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Comp. Group	43.3	25.4	25.7	0.3	*	15.7	33.7	33.1	-0.6	*	5.1	6.0	6.1	0.1	*
SCCPSS	44.6	25.8	25.1	-0.7	*	15.4	31.2	30.9	-0.3	*	5.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
Bloomington	47.6	25.3	27.9	2.6	*	16.4	30.8	30.3	-0.5	*	7.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
Brock ^Δ	31.7	14.9	14.6	-0.3	*	13.4	30.8	27.6	-3.2	*	4.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	*
Butler ^{□Δ}	39.1	18.6	18.2	-0.4	*	17.0	30.6	29.3	-1.3	*	4.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
Gadsden ^Δ	38.4	20.6	19.6	-1.0	*	17.3	35.4	34.2	-1.2	*	13.0	6.7	5.8	-0.9	*
Garden City ^Δ	39.2	21.4	20.5	-0.9	*	15.6	30.4	31.8	1.4	*	8.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
Gould	41.4	23.0	22.2	-0.8	*	14.8	28.3	25.4	-2.9	*	8.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*
Haven ^{□Δ○}	31.2	14.3	15.3	1.0	*	11.1	24.8	31.5	6.7	*	0.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
Heard	50.0	32.3	31.7	-0.6	*	17.2	37.2	31.4	-5.8	*	8.0	6.7	5.0	-1.7	*
Hodge ^{□Δ○}	32.8	15.4	16.2	0.8	*	15.7	29.1	31.5	2.4	*	3.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
Howard	57.2	39.4	37.7	-1.7	*	20.2	38.4	35.1	-3.3	*	14.0	7.5	6.7	-0.8	*
JG Smith	57.7	39.0	38.8	-0.2	*	19.3	32.0	32.2	0.2	*	15.0	7.5	6.7	-0.8	*
Largo-Tibet	43.2	24.8	21.9	-2.9	*	15.5	32.4	31.4	-1.0	*	7.0	3.3	7.5	4.2	*
Low ^{Δ○}	39.6	18.3	19.0	0.7	*	11.6	28.8	28.7	-0.1	*	0.0	5.8	5.8	0.0	*
Marshpoint	53.9	34.3	35.0	0.7	*	15.4	33.6	34.8	1.2	*	10.0	5.0	6.7	1.7	*
Pooler	48.5	27.8	25.0	-2.8	*	15.0	33.1	29.4	-3.7	*	8.0	5.8	5.0	-0.8	*
Pt. Wentworth	42.2	21.9	36.1	14.2	*	12.9	25.6	NA	NA	*	4.0	3.3	NA	NA	*
Pulaski	47.2	26.0	26.9	0.9	*	16.3	32.8	23.6	-9.2	*	11.0	5.0	4.2	-0.8	*
Shuman ^{□Δ○}	34.2	16.3	16.6	0.3	*	11.7	27.0	31.1	4.1	*	0.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
Southwest	48.9	25.7	23.1	-2.6	*	17.7	33.8	30.2	-3.6	*	12.0	5.8	4.2	-1.6	*
Spencer	34.7	18.0	18.9	0.9	*	16.5	35.9	32.1	-3.8	*	10.0	5.8	6.7	0.9	*
Thunderbolt ^{□Δ}	30.1	18.9	16.0	-2.9	*	10.7	34.9	30.0	-4.9	*	0.0	6.7	5.8	-0.9	*
West Chatham	49.3	26.4	27.1	0.7	*	16.5	30.9	33.9	3.0	*	7.0	5.0	8.3	3.3	*
White Bluff ^Δ	37.1	21.8	21.5	-0.3	*	12.7	34.0	35.3	1.3	*	5.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
Windsor Forest ^{□Δ}	41.6	24.4	23.8	-0.6	*	14.7	31.7	30.2	-1.5	*	5.0	5.0	5.8	0.8	*

□*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

■ At/above annual target ■ Made progress ■ Did not make progress

□ Focus school Δ Impact school ○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



**DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT**

Figure 6. CCRPI Challenge Points: by Elementary School

	Challenge Points (10 max all years)									
	Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	3.6	5.8	1.2	-4.6	*	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.3	*
Comp. Group	1.4	2.1	0.4	-1.7	*	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
SCCPSS	2.9	2.3	0.0	-2.3	*	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	*
Bloomington	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.5	1.5	1.0	-0.5	*
Brock Δ \circ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Butler \square Δ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Gadsden Δ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Garden City Δ	2.5	0.7	0.0	-0.7	*	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Gould	3.8	2.0	0.0	-2.0	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Haven \square Δ \circ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
Heard	3.6	6.0	3.9	-2.1	*	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*
Hodge \square Δ \circ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	*
Howard	2.7	3.8	3.2	-0.6	*	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
JG Smith	5.8	5.2	5.1	-0.1	*	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Largo-Tibet	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Low Δ \circ	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	*	0.5	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
Marshpoint	4.3	3.9	4.4	0.5	*	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Pooler	6.9	1.7	0.0	-1.7	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Pt. Wentworth	2.9	0.0	NA	NA	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Pulaski	5.5	7.5	4.1	-3.4	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Shuman \square Δ \circ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Southwest	4.0	3.3	0.0	-3.3	*	1.0	1.5	0.5	-1.0	*
Spencer	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.5	1.0	1.5	0.5	*
Thunderbolt \square Δ	0.0	2.3	0.0	-2.3	*	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.0	*
West Chatham	3.9	2.1	0.0	-2.1	*	0.5	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
White Bluff Δ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Windsor Forest \square Δ	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	1.0	0.0	-1.0	*

\square *Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation NA - score not calculated

\square Focus school Δ Impact school \circ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 7. CCRPI Overall and Core Scores: by K8 School

		CCRPI Overall Score					CCRPI Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	73.2	76.8	72.8	-4.0	*	69.0	70.2	70.5	1.5	*
	Grades 6-8	73.5	71.7	72.1	0.4	*	71.4	70.6	71.5	0.9	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	65.8	67.7	66.3	-1.4	*	64.1	65.1	64.9	-0.2	*
	Grades 6-8	68.3	63.5	64.0	0.5	*	67.0	62.9	63.5	0.6	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	68.7	64.1	62.6	-1.5	*	65.0	61.2	61.8	0.6	*
	Grades 6-8	65.9	61.0	60.1	-0.9	*	64.8	60.4	59.7	-0.7	*
East Broad	Δ	45.9	38.5	45.9	7.4	*	NA	37.9	45.0	7.1	*
	Grades K-5	41.6	33.9	43.8	9.9	*	41.6	33.4	42.8	9.4	*
	Grades 6-8	58.2	52.9	52.8	-0.1	*	58.2	51.9	52.3	0.4	*
Ellis		79.8	67.7	64.0	-3.7	*	NA	65.8	63.7	-2.1	*
	Grades K-5	77.7	65.7	65.6	-0.1	*	74.5	63.7	65.1	1.4	*
	Grades 6-8	86.1	73.4	59.3	-14.1	*	81.8	71.8	59.3	-12.5	*
	Grades K-5	91.0	84.5	82.5	-2.0	*	NA	79.3	77.3	-2.0	*
Garrison		87.3	83.1	82.7	-0.4	*	81.3	77.3	76.3	-1.0	*
	Grades 6-8	95.8	86.5	82.3	-4.2	*	91.6	82.3	78.7	-3.6	*
Georgetown		69.9	65.9	69.7	3.8	*	NA	64.6	68.5	3.9	*
	Grades K-5	68.8	66.7	67.5	0.8	*	66.6	65.5	66.0	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	72.8	64.0	75.3	11.3	*	69.4	62.3	74.8	12.5	*
	Grades K-5	84.4	71.8	76.3	4.5	*	NA	68.4	73.8	5.4	*
Godley Station		88.2	74.2	74.7	0.5	*	82.5	69.7	72.1	2.4	*
	Grades 6-8	75.4	66.8	80.2	13.4	*	72.0	65.7	78.0	12.3	*
Hesse		84.3	71.4	69.9	-1.5	*	NA	68.0	66.4	-1.6	*
	Grades K-5	88.3	69.9	68.9	-1.0	*	84.4	65.5	64.4	-1.1	*
	Grades 6-8	75.7	75.1	72.1	-3.0	*	73.4	74.1	71.1	-3.0	*
	Grades K-5	72.7	50.9	56.6	5.7	*	NA	50.1	56.1	6.0	*
Isle of Hope		76.1	53.5	59.3	5.8	*	60.4	52.5	58.8	6.3	*
	Grades 6-8	64.3	45.1	51.2	6.1	*	62.1	44.6	50.7	6.1	*
Rice Creek		NA	NA	54.3	NA	*	NA	NA	54.0	NA	*
	Grades K-5	NA	NA	52.6	NA	*	NA	NA	52.1	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	56.6	NA	*	NA	NA	56.6	NA	*

□* Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

■ At/above annual target

■ Made progress

■ Did not make progress

□ Target not applicable; no prior data

Δ Impact school

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 8. CCRPI Achievement, Progress, & Achievement Gap Scores: by K8 School

		Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	48.1	29.7	30.0	0.3	*	15.9	33.8	33.8	0.0	*	5.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	48.1	29.3	30.2	0.9	*	16.3	34.6	34.6	0.0	*	7.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	43.3	25.4	25.7	0.3	*	15.7	33.7	33.1	-0.6	*	5.1	6.0	6.1	0.1	*
	Grades 6-8	44.2	24.4	25.1	0.7	*	15.9	33.3	33.0	-0.3	*	6.9	5.2	5.4	0.2	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	44.6	25.8	25.1	-0.7	*	15.4	31.2	30.9	-0.3	*	5.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
	Grades 6-8	42.9	23.8	24.6	0.8	*	14.9	32.4	30.9	-1.5	*	7.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*
East Broad ^Δ	Grades K-5	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	29.4	12.4	12.6	0.2	*	11.2	19.3	26.9	7.6	*	1.0	1.7	3.3	1.6	*
Ellis	Grades K-5	36.6	15.6	16.4	0.8	*	16.6	32.1	30.9	-1.2	*	5.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
Garrison	Grades K-5	51.7	30.0	31.7	1.7	*	12.8	27.9	28.4	0.5	*	10.0	5.8	5.0	-0.8	*
	Grades 6-8	55.0	31.6	29.2	-2.4	*	16.8	33.5	25.9	-7.6	*	10.0	6.7	4.2	-2.5	*
Georgetown	Grades K-5	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	55.8	35.6	36.6	1.0	*	15.5	35.0	32.2	-2.8	*	10.0	6.7	7.5	0.8	*
Godley Station	Grades K-5	59.4	37.5	37.5	0.0	*	17.2	36.5	33.7	-2.8	*	15.0	8.3	7.5	-0.8	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
Hesse	Grades K-5	46.7	27.3	28.0	0.7	*	14.9	33.2	33.0	-0.2	*	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	48.0	23.5	27.3	3.8	*	16.4	34.6	40.0	5.4	*	5.0	4.2	7.5	3.3	*
Isle of Hope	Grades K-5	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	53.9	32.9	32.3	-0.6	*	17.6	30.1	33.1	3.0	*	11.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Rice Creek	Grades K-5	44.7	28.8	33.8	5.0	*	16.3	31.9	37.5	5.6	*	11.0	5.0	6.7	1.7	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
360 school	Grades K-5	53.5	32.5	32.9	0.4	*	16.9	28.0	26.5	-1.5	*	14.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	50.9	29.0	29.6	0.6	*	16.5	38.4	35.7	-2.7	*	6.0	6.7	5.8	-0.9	*
Impact school	Grades K-5	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	47.9	21.7	22.1	0.4	*	5.5	26.6	30.9	4.3	*	7.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
360 school	Grades K-5	40.9	17.6	21.0	3.4	*	14.2	23.7	26.4	2.7	*	7.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
360 school	Grades K-5	NA	NA	23.4	NA	*	NA	NA	24.5	NA	*	NA	NA	4.2	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	21.9	NA	*	NA	NA	30.5	NA	*	NA	NA	4.2	NA	*

□ *Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

■ At/above annual target ■ Made progress ■ Did not make progress

□ Target not applicable; no prior data

Δ Impact school

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



**DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
DAS-REMI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT**

Figure 9. CCRPI Challenge Points: by K8 School

		Challenge Points (10 max all years)									
		Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	3.6	5.8	1.2	-4.6	*	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.3	*
	Grades 6-8	1.8	0.6	0.0	-0.6	*	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.1	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	1.4	2.1	0.4	-1.7	*	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	1.3	0.3	0.1	-0.2	*	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	2.9	2.3	0.0	-2.3	*	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	*
	Grades 6-8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.6	0.6	0.4	-0.2	*
East Broad	△ ^o	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades K-5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
Ellis	Grades K-5	2.2	1.0	0.0	-1.0	*	1.0	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	3.3	1.1	0.0	-1.1	*	1.0	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Garrison	Grades K-5	5.0	5.3	5.4	0.1	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	3.2	3.7	3.1	-0.6	*	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
Georgetown	Grades K-5	1.2	0.7	0.0	-0.7	*	1.0	0.5	1.5	1.0	*
	Grades 6-8	2.9	0.7	0.0	-0.7	*	0.5	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
Godley Station	Grades K-5	4.7	4.5	2.1	-2.4	*	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	2.9	0.6	2.2	1.6	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Hesse	Grades K-5	3.4	3.4	3.5	0.1	*	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	*
Isle of Hope	Grades K-5	4.7	1.0	0.0	-1.0	*	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
Rice Creek	Grades K-5	NA	NA	0.0	NA	*	NA	NA	0.5	NA	*
	Grades 6-8	NA	NA	0.0	NA	*	NA	NA	0.0	NA	*

□ *Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

△ Impact school

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 10. CCRPI Overall, Core, Achievement, Progress, & Achievement Gap Scores: by Middle School

	CCRPI Overall Score					CCRPI Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	73.5	71.7	72.1	0.4	*	71.4	70.6	71.5	0.9	*
Comp. Group	68.3	63.5	64.0	0.5	*	67.0	62.9	63.5	0.6	*
SCCPSS	65.9	61.0	60.1	-0.9	*	64.8	60.4	59.7	-0.7	*
Coastal	78.8	71.2	71.1	-0.1	*	74.2	67.3	67.9	0.6	*
DeRenne ^o	57.4	52.9	52.1	-0.8	*	56.9	52.4	51.6	-0.8	*
Hubert	60.5	47.6	46.6	-1.0	*	59.1	47.1	46.6	-0.5	*
Mercer ^o	54.4	48.7	53.5	4.8	*	53.9	48.2	53.0	4.8	*
Myers ^o	48.0	57.1	47.1	-10.0	*	47.5	56.6	47.1	-9.5	*
Southwest ^o	58.2	55.3	59.3	4.0	*	55	54.2	59.3	5.1	*
STEM Academy	91.2	84.5	79.4	-5.1	*	85.7	78.3	73.0	-5.3	*
West Chatham ^o	58.9	58.9	55.9	-3.0	*	58.4	58.4	55.9	-2.5	*

	Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	48.1	29.3	30.2	0.9	*	16.3	34.6	34.6	0.0	*	7.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Comp. Group	44.2	24.4	25.1	0.7	*	15.9	33.3	33.0	-0.3	*	6.9	5.2	5.4	0.2	*
SCCPSS	42.9	23.8	24.6	0.8	*	14.9	32.4	30.9	-1.5	*	7.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*
Coastal	48.5	30.3	32.1	1.8	*	15.7	32.0	30.8	-1.2	*	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
DeRenne ^o	34.6	17.3	18.3	1.0	*	13.3	30.9	29.1	-1.8	*	9.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*
Hubert	37.6	16.9	16.4	-0.5	*	15.5	26.9	26.9	0	*	6.0	3.3	3.3	0	*
Mercer ^o	33.6	15.8	16.9	1.1	*	13.3	28.2	30.3	2.1	*	7.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
Myers ^o	34.4	17.4	17.1	-0.3	*	13.1	33.4	25.8	-7.6	*	0.0	5.8	4.2	-1.6	*
Southwest ^o	40.9	20.5	21.9	1.4	*	14.1	29.5	32.4	2.9	*	0.0	4.2	5.0	0.8	*
STEM Academy	55.4	37.3	38.5	1.2	*	15.3	32.7	27.0	-5.7	*	15.0	8.3	7.5	-0.8	*
West Chatham ^o	40.3	20.0	20.3	0.3	*	14.1	32.6	29.8	-2.8	*	4.0	5.8	5.8	0.0	*

□*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

■ At/above annual target ■ Made progress ■ Did not make progress

■ Focus school

○360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 11. CCRPI Challenge Points: by Middle School

	Challenge Points (10 max all years)									
	Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	1.8	0.6	0.0	-0.6	*	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.1	*
Comp. Group	1.3	0.3	0.1	-0.2	*	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	*
SCCPSS	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.6	0.6	0.4	-0.2	*
Coastal	3.6	2.9	1.7	-1.2	*	1.0	1.0	1.5	0.5	*
DeRenne [○]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
Hubert	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Mercer [○]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	*
Myers [○]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Southwest [○]	2.7	0.6	0.0	-0.6	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
STEM Academy	5.0	5.2	4.4	-0.8	*	0.5	1.0	2.0	1.0	*
West Chatham [▣] [○]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*

▣* Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

▣ Focus school

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 12. CCRPI Overall and Main Component Scores: by High School

	CCRPI Overall Score					CCRPI Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	68.7	76.1	76.1	0.0	*	66.8	73.8	75.0	1.2	*
Comp. Group	63.9	70.7	68.8	-1.9	*	62.3	69.0	67.6	-1.4	*
SCCPSS	65.0	72.5	72.7	0.2	*	61.2	70.4	71.3	0.9	*
Beach	62.0	70.9	69.2	-1.7	*	57.9	68.0	68.2	0.2	*
Early College	NA	NA	96.7	NA	*	NA	NA	89.2	NA	*
Groves	68.1	71.3	66.1	-5.2	*	63.8	69.5	66.1	-3.4	*
Islands	70.0	76.3	75.6	-0.7	*	67.9	74.4	74.1	-0.3	*
Jenkins	54.8	71.1	67.6	-3.5	*	54.3	70.2	65.8	-4.4	*
Johnson	64.2	69.8	70.7	0.9	*	62.3	68.4	69.3	0.9	*
New Hampstead	59.9	68.7	65.8	-2.9	*	58.1	68.0	65.5	-2.5	*
Savannah Arts	89.9	86.6	91.7	5.1	*	87.5	84.6	89.4	4.8	*
SLS at Sav. High ^{□□}	55.9	60.6	60.0	-0.6	*	54.4	60.6	60.0	-0.6	*
Windsor Forest	62.9	66.6	66.7	0.1	*	60.8	66.2	66.3	0.1	*
Woodville-Tompkins	89.8	92.3	92.5	0.2	*	82.4	86.5	85.9	-0.6	*

[□]*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation
 NA - score not calculated
[■] At/above annual target [■] Made progress [■] Did not make progress ^{□□} Priority school
[□] Target not applicable; no prior data



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 12. CCRPI Achievement, Progress, & Achievement Gap Scores: by High School

	Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	43.6	32.8	34.0	1.2	*	15.7	34.3	34.3	0.0	*	7.5	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Comp. Group	39.7	29.0	29.4	0.4	*	15.7	34.3	32.8	-1.5	*	6.9	5.7	5.4	-0.3	*
SCCPSS	40.6	30.5	31.3	0.8	*	15.6	34.1	33.3	-0.8	*	5.0	5.8	6.7	0.9	*
Beach	37.6	26.3	26.2	-0.1	*	17.8	35.9	35.3	-0.6	*	2.5	5.8	6.7	0.9	*
Early College	NA	NA	42.6	NA	*	NA	NA	37.4	NA	*	NA	NA	9.2	NA	*
Groves	37.3	25.7	25.5	-0.2	*	19.0	36.3	33.9	-2.4	*	7.5	7.5	6.7	-0.8	*
Islands	45.6	34.8	36.0	1.2	*	14.8	32.9	32.3	-0.6	*	7.5	6.7	5.8	-0.9	*
Jenkins	38.7	30.2	30.1	-0.1	*	14.4	34.2	30.7	-3.5	*	1.2	5.8	5.0	-0.8	*
Johnson	39.2	28.7	29.1	0.4	*	15.6	33.9	34.4	0.5	*	7.5	5.8	5.8	0.0	*
New Hampstead	37.6	28.1	28.4	0.3	*	14.2	34.9	32.1	-2.8	*	6.3	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
Savannah Arts	58.1	45.5	46.2	0.7	*	14.4	29.9	34.0	4.1	*	15.0	9.2	9.2	0.0	*
SLS at Sav. High ^{□□}	32.1	22.4	21.0	-1.4	*	16.0	33.2	34.0	0.8	*	6.3	5.0	5.0	0.0	*
Windsor Forest	38.5	28.8	29.2	0.4	*	14.8	32.4	31.3	-1.1	*	7.5	5.0	5.8	0.8	*
Woodville-Tompkins	50.7	40.1	40.4	0.3	*	17.9	38.1	37.2	-0.9	*	13.8	8.3	8.3	0.0	*

*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation NA - score not calculated
 At/above annual target
 Made progress
 Did not make progress
 □□ Priority school
 Target not applicable; no prior data



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 13. CCRPI Challenge Points: by High School

	Challenge Points (10 max all years)									
	Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar				
	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	1.6	2.0	0.7	-1.3	*	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	*
Comp. Group	1.4	1.5	0.9	-0.6	*	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	*
SCCPSS	3.3	1.9	1.1	-0.8	*	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	*
Beach	4.1	2.4	1.0	-1.4	*	0.0	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*
Early College	NA	NA	6.5	NA	*	NA	NA	1.0	NA	*
Groves	3.8	1.8	0.0	-1.8	*	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Islands	1.6	1.9	1.5	-0.4	*	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Jenkins	0.0	0.9	0.8	-0.1	*	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	*
Johnson	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.0	*	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
New Hampstead	1.3	0.7	0.3	-0.4	*	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Savannah Arts	1.4	1.5	1.3	-0.2	*	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
SLS at Sav. High ^{□□}	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Windsor Forest	2.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Woodville-Tompkins	6.9	5.3	6.1	0.8	*	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	*

□*Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

□□Priority school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM - REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT DAS-REMI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 14. CCRPI Overall, Core, Achievement, Progress, and Achievement Gap Scores: by Charter School

		CCRPI Overall Score					CCRPI Core Score (without Challenge Points)				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	73.2	76.8	72.8	-4.0	*	69.0	70.2	70.5	1.5	*
	Grades 6-8	73.5	71.7	72.1	0.4	*	71.4	70.6	71.5	0.9	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	65.8	67.7	66.3	-1.4	*	64.1	65.1	64.9	-0.2	*
	Grades 6-8	68.3	63.5	64.0	0.5	*	67.0	62.9	63.5	0.6	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	68.7	64.1	62.6	-1.5	*	65.0	61.2	61.8	0.6	*
	Grades 6-8	65.9	61.0	60.1	-0.9	*	64.8	60.4	59.7	-0.7	*
CEMCO		73.8	67.7	74.4	6.7	*	71.1	NA	NA	NA	*
Tybee Maritime		81.1	68.6	86.1	17.5	*	NA	NA	81.8	NA	*
Savannah Classical ^o		43.5	55.6	54.6	-1.0	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades K-5	39.8	55.1	51.8	-3.3	*	39.3	55.1	51.3	-3.8	*
	Grades 6-8	63.7	57.2	59.9	2.7	*	NA	57.2	59.9	2.7	*
Oglethorpe		81.4	84.0	77.5	-6.5	*	77.1	80.6	75.1	-5.5	*

		Academic Achievement (50 max 14-15 & 15-16; 60 max in prior years)					Progress (40 max 14-15 & 15-16; 25 max in prior years)					Achievement Gap (10 max 14-15 & 15-16; 15 max in prior years)				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	48.1	29.7	30.0	0.3	*	15.9	33.8	33.8	0.0	*	5.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
	Grades 6-8	48.1	29.3	30.2	0.9	*	16.3	34.6	34.6	0.0	*	7.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	43.3	25.4	25.7	0.3	*	15.7	33.7	33.1	-0.6	*	5.1	6.0	6.1	0.1	*
	Grades 6-8	44.2	24.4	25.1	0.7	*	15.9	33.3	33.0	-0.3	*	6.9	5.2	5.4	0.2	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	44.6	25.8	25.1	-0.7	*	15.4	31.2	30.9	-0.3	*	5.0	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
	Grades 6-8	42.9	23.8	24.6	0.8	*	14.9	32.4	30.9	-1.5	*	7.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	*
CEMCO		39.2	24.3	26.1	1.8	*	17.9	36.2	40.0	3.8	*	14.0	NA	NA	NA	*
Tybee Maritime		47.8	30.5	33.0	2.5	*	17.1	27.6	39.6	12.0	*	NA	NA	9.2	NA	*
Savannah Classical ^o		NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades K-5	29.9	20.8	18.2	-2.6	*	9.4	28.5	29.8	1.3	*	0.0	5.8	3.3	-2.5	*
	Grades 6-8	41.4	22.0	21.8	-0.2	*	10.2	31.0	32.3	1.3	*	NA	4.2	5.8	1.6	*
Oglethorpe		52.9	32.6	32.6	0.0	*	16.2	39.7	35.8	-3.9	*	8.0	8.3	6.7	-1.6	*

□ *Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

■ At/above annual target ■ Did not make progress

○ 360 school; shaded circle indicates new 360 school



DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM -
 REPORTING, EVALUATING, & MONITORING INSTRUMENT
 DAS-REMI
 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY REPORT

Figure 15. CCRPI Challenge Points: by Charter School

		Challenge Points (10 max all years)									
		Subgroup Performance					Exceeding the Bar				
		13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg	13-14	14-15	15-16	1 Yr Chg	3 Yr Chg
State	Grades K-5	3.6	5.8	1.2	-4.6	*	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.3	*
	Grades 6-8	1.8	0.6	0.0	-0.6	*	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.1	*
Comp. Group	Grades K-5	1.4	2.1	0.4	-1.7	*	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	1.3	0.3	0.1	-0.2	*	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	*
SCCPSS	Grades K-5	2.9	2.3	0.0	-2.3	*	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	*
	Grades 6-8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.6	0.6	0.4	-0.2	*
CEMCO		2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	*
Tybee Maritime		4.2	3.0	3.8	0.8	*	0.5	1.0	0.5	-0.5	*
Savannah Classical ^o		NA	NA	NA	NA	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	*
	Grades K-5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	*
	Grades 6-8	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*
Oglethorpe		3.8	2.9	2.4	-0.5	*	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	*

□ *Prior scores not comparable due to changes in CCRPI calculation

NA - score not calculated

^o360 school ; shaded circle indicates new 360 school